

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,997	CHENG, YI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan T. Lam	2816	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Tuan T. Lam.

(3) _____

 (2) attorney Michael D. Wiggins (REq. no 34, 754).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 29 July 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



TUAN T. LAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims (see Examiner's amendment) to clarify the hysteresis offset, hysteresis delay and the output load adjustment are selectively programmed by control signals and to place the application in a condition for allowance.